## SFDR PAI statement report Inven Capital Sub-Fund A

Reporting year

Inven Capital, SICAV, a.s. considers principal adverse impacts of its investment decisions on sustainability factors. Inven Capital, SICAV, a.s. had 4 sub-funds as of December 2023 (Sub-Fund A, Sub-Fund B, Sub-Fund C, Sub-Fund D). This statement presents principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors of Inven Capital Sub-Fund A.

This statement on principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors covers the reference period from 1 January 2023 to 31 December 2023.

Our policies how we identify and prioritise principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors are described in detail in the document "inven ESG policy" located on our website www.invencapital.cz in the section info for investors. This document is updated on regular basis and serves as a basis for our internal sustainailbility guideline first approved by the Board of Directors in January 2021. Principal adverse impacts are monitored throughout the whole investment process starting with the due diligence, during the holding period till the exit.

Apart from the mandatory principal adverse impacts indicators we have selected 3 additional indicators that are most relevant for our portfolio companies that predominantly have an software based business models based on software innovation.

Data used in preparation of this Principal adverse impacts statement have been collected directly from the investee companies. They are small or medium sized private companies for which public data are not available.

The principal adverse impact indicators were collected for the second time in the year 2023. Our main goal is to improve the availability of data.

Based on the collected data we do not see any material adverse environmental or social impacts of our portfolio companies. Our companies are small and medium sized start-ups that develop mostly innovative software products and therefore material adverse impact on environment and society is not expected.

Mandatory PAI indicators

| Adverse sustainability indicator |   | Metric  | Impact - 2023  | Impact - 2022 | Change       | Explanation   | Actions taken   |
|----------------------------------|---|---|----------------|---------------|--------------|---|---|
| Greenhouse gas emissions         | 1. GHG Emissions  | 1.1 Scope 1 GHG emissions (tonnes CO2e)   | 40.3           | 34.2          | 18%          | Sum of emissions from 5<br>portfolio companies (out of total<br>10 companies in Sub-Fund A<br>portfolio). | The goal is to reduce emissions of compa  |
|                                  |   | 1.2 Scope 2 GHG emissions (tonnes CO2e)   | 56.5           | 52.6          | 7%           | Sum of emissions from 5<br>portfolio companies (out of total<br>10 companies in Sub-Fund A<br>portfolio). | where measured by implementing ne<br>carbon-reduction initiatives and implem<br>the measurement in other companies in<br>next reporting period.   |
|                                  |   | 1.3 Scope 3 GHG emissions (tonnes CO2e)   | 4 592.5        | 11 480.5      | -60%         | Sum of emissions from 4<br>portfolio companies (out of total<br>10 companies in Sub-Fund A<br>portfolio). |   |
|                                  |   | 1.4 Total GHG Emission (tonnes CO2e)  | 4 689.4        | 11 567.4      | -59%         |   |   |
|                                  | 2. Carbon Footprint   | Carbon Footprint (tonnes CO2e / MEUR)   | 34.2           | 73.0          | -53%         | The decrease is driven by 1 portfolio company that substantially decreased its Scope 3 emissions.         | The goal is to reduce the carbon<br>footprint of companies where meas<br>by implementing new carbon-reduc<br>initiatives in the next year and<br>implement the measurement in oth<br>companies.   |
|                                  | 3. GHG intensity of investee companies  | GHG intensity of investee companies (tonnes CO2e / MEUR)  | 223.7          | 302.5         | -26%         |   |   |
|                                  | Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector  | Share of investments in companies active in the fossil fuel sector (%)  | 0.0%           | 0.0%          | 0.0%         | We don't invest into companies active in this sector.   |   |
|                                  | Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production  | Share of non-renewable energy consumption and<br>non-renewable energy production of investee<br>companies from non-renewable energy sources<br>compared to renewable energy sources, expressed<br>as a percentage of total energy sources (%)   | 30.8%          | 18.1%         | 12.6%        | Based on data from 5 portfolio companies in 2023 compared to 3 companies in 2022.                         | The goal is to implement the measurement in other portfolio companies and support adoption of renewable energy sources in most of portfolio companies.  |
|                                  |   | A - Agriculture, forestry and fishing (GWh/MEUR revenue)  | 0.000          | 0.0%          | 0.0%         |   |   |
|                                  |   | B - Mining and quarrying (GWh/MEUR revenue) C - Manufacturing (GWh/MEUR revenue)  | 0.000<br>0.001 | 0.0%<br>0.0%  | 0.0%         |   |   |
|                                  | 6. Energy consumption intensity per high impact   | D - Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning  | 0.000          | 0.0%          | 0.0%         |   |   |
|                                  | climate sector  | supply (GWh/MEUR revenue)  E - Water supply; sewerage; waste management   | 0.000          | 0.0%          | 0.0%         |   |   |
|                                  |   | and remediation activities (GWh/MEUR revenue)  F - Construction (GWh/MEUR revenue)  |                |               |              |   |   |
|                                  |   | F - Construction (GWh/MEUR revenue) G - Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles (GWh/MEUR revenue)   | 0.013          | 0.0%          | 1.3%<br>0.0% |   |   |
|                                  |   | H - Transporting and storage (GWh/MEUR revenue)   | 0.000          | 0.0%          | 0.0%         |   |   |
|                                  |   | L - Real estate activities (GWh/MEUR revenue)   | 0.000          | 0.0%          | 0.0%         |   |   |
| Biodiversity                     | 7. Activities negatively affecting biodiversity-<br>sensitive areas   | Share of investments in investee companies with<br>sites/operations located in or near to biodiversity-<br>sensitive areas where activities of those investee<br>companies negatively affect those areas (%)  | 0.0%           | 0.0%          | 0.0%         | We don't have investments close to biodiversity related areas.  |   |
| Water                            | 8. Emissions to water   | Tonnes of emissions to water generated by<br>investee companies per million EUR invested,<br>expressed as a weighted average (tonnes of<br>emissions to water / MEUR)   | -              | 0.0           | n/a          | Not relevant for our portfolio companies.   |   |
| Waste                            | Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio   | Tonnes of hazardous waste and radioactive waste<br>generated by investee companies per million EUR<br>invested, expressed as a weighted average (tonnes<br>of hazardous waste / MEUR)   | 0.1            | 0.1           | -7.3%        |   | No action considered as needed in   |
| Social and employee matters      | 10. Violations of UN Global Compact principles<br>and Organisation for Economic Cooperation<br>and Development (OECD) Guidelines for<br>Multinational Enterprises | Share of investments in investee companies that have been involved in violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (%)  | 0.0%           | 2.1%          | -2.1%        |   | No action considered as needed in   |
|                                  | Lack of processes and compliance<br>mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN<br>Global Compact principles and DECD<br>Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises    | Share of investments in investee companies without policies to monitor compliance with the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises or grievance/ complaints handling mechanisms to address violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (P). | 79.8%          | 73.9%         | 5.9%         |   | We aim to introduce UN Global Cor<br>principles and OECD Guidelines for<br>Multinational Enterprises to portfor<br>companies that are not familiar with<br>them.  |
|                                  | 12. Unadjusted gender pay gap   | Average unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies (%)   | 2.6%           | 1.5%          | 1.1%         | Collected from 7 companies.   | We aim to implement the measure<br>in remaining portfolio companies :<br>first step and then focus on initiati<br>which would decrease the gap who<br>possible.   |
|                                  | 13. Board gender diversity  | Average ratio of female to male board members in investee companies, expressed as a percentage of all board members (%)   | 10.1%          | 9.8%          | 0.3%         | Reported by 9 companies.  | Our approach is to act as advocate more diverse boards in all our por companies. However, as the boar members are mainly representative other investors, it is influenced by DEI approach. We have currently identified the independent board member / chair person role as the efficient way how to influence boa diversity. |
|                                  | <ol> <li>Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-<br/>personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical<br/>weapons and biological weapons)</li> </ol>                 | Share of investments in investee companies<br>involved in the manufacture or selling of<br>controversial weapons (%)  | 0.0%           | 0.0%          | 0.0%         | We don't invest into companies involved in weapons trade.   |   |

| Additional | PAI | indicators |
|------------|-----|------------|

| Additional FAI mulcators         |  |  |               |               |        |  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|--|---------------|---------------|--------|--|--|
| Adverse sustainability indicator | Metric   |  | Impact - 2023 | Impact - 2022 | Change | Explanation  | Actions taken  |
| Environmental                    | Investments in companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives | Share of investments in investee companies<br>without carbon emission reduction initiatives<br>aimed at aligning with the Paris Agreement (%)                            | 46.9%         | 41.9%         | 5.0%   | 3 companies have carbon reduction initiatives in place.  | Support other portfolio companies to create and implement these initiative               |
| Social                           | Lack of a supplier code of conduct                                     | Share of investments in investee companies without any supplier code of conduct (against unsafe working conditions, precarious work, child labour and forced labour) (%) | 40.5%         | 63.1%         | -22.6% | 6 companies have a supplier code of conduct.   | Support other portfolio companies to<br>create and implement a supplier code<br>conduct. |
|                                  | Lack of anti-corruption and anti-bribery policies                      | Share of investments in entities without policies on<br>anti-corruption and anti-bribery consistent with the<br>United Nations Convention against Corruption (%)         | 5.3%          | 42.0%         | -36.7% | 8 companies have an anti-<br>corruption policy, some<br>companies have their anti-<br>corruption policies included in<br>the Code of Conduct document. | Our aim is to support the remaining companies to formulate their anti-corruption policy. |

Please refer to our document "Inven ESG policy" located in the section Info for investors on our website www.invecapital.cz for detailed description how we identify and evaluate the principal adverse impacts.

Inven is usually a minority shareholder, however, if possible we require a board seat in our portfolio companies to be aware and be able to influence of the situation in our companies. We monitor our investments on regular basis, communicate with relevant stakeholders to ensure and support our mutual cooperation (where possible setting up ESG committees at the boards), including separate dialogues with our investee companies on ESG matters. We try to support our investees in establishing their own ESG processes which are aligned with market best practice.

As 2022 is the second reporting period for PAIs. Our main goal is to increase the availability of data and implementation of policies. In the policies area, we have seen the biggest improvements in Supplier code of conduct and Anticorruption policies implementation. On the other hand, the decreased carboon footprint is driven mainly by the substantial decrease of scope 3 emissions of one our portfolio company in 2023.