

SFDR PAI statement report Inven Capital - summary of all sub-funds

This report was generated by Worldfavor and is based on data collected in the Worldfavor platform

Impact year 2022

Summary Inven Capital, SICAV, a.s. considers principal adverse impacts of its investment decisions on sustainability factors. Inven Capital, SICAV, a.s. had 3 sub-funds as of December 2022 (Sub-Fund A, Sub-Fund B, Sub-Fund C). This statement presents principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors for all Inven Capital sub-funds.

This statement on principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors covers the reference period from 1 January 2022 to 31 December 2022.

Our policies how we identify and prioritise principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors are described in detail in the document "Inven ESG policy" located on our website www.invencapital.cz in the section Info for investors. This document is updated on regular basis and serves as a basis for our internal sustainability guideline first approved by the Board of Directors in January 2021. Principal adverse impacts are monitored throughout the whole investment process starting with due diligence, during the holding period till the exit.

Apart from the mandatory principal adverse impacts indicators we have selected 3 additional indicators that are most relevant for our portfolio companies that predominantly have an asset light business models based on software innovation.

Data used in preparation of this Principal adverse impacts statement have been collected directly from the investee companies. They are small or medium sized private companies for which public data are not available.

Description of the principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors The principal adverse impact indicators were collected for the first time in the year 2022 and will serve as a basis of reference in the next reporting periods. The main goal for the next reporting period is to improve the availability of data. Based on the collected data we do not see any material adverse environmental or social impacts of our portfolio companies. Our companies are small and medium sized start-ups that mostly develop innovative software products and therefore material adverse impact on environment and society is not expected.

Mandatory PAI indicators

Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact - 2022	Impact - 2021	Change	Explanation	Actions taken	
Greenhouse gas emissions	1. GHG Emissions	Scope 1 GHG emissions	20,37	-		Sum of emissions from 5 portfolio companies (out of total 11 portfolio companies).	The goal is to reduce emissions of companies where measured by implementing new carbon-reduction initiatives and implement the measurement in other companies in the next reporting period.
		Scope 2 GHG emissions	13,15	-		Sum of emissions from 6 portfolio companies (out of total 11 portfolio companies).	
		Scope 3 GHG emissions	3 835,27	-		Sum of emissions from 5 portfolio companies (out of total 10 portfolio companies).	
		Total GHG Emission	3 868,78	-			
	2. Carbon Footprint	Carbon Footprint	0,99	-			The goal is to reduce the carbon footprint of companies where measured by implementing new carbon-reduction initiatives in the next year and implement the measurement in other companies.
	3. GHG intensity of investee companies	GHG intensity of investee companies	0,00	-		Negligible number due to the character of business of the portfolio companies.	
	4. Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector	Share of investments in companies active in the fossil fuel sector	0%	-		We don't invest into companies active in this sector.	
	5. Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production	Share of non-renewable energy consumption and non-renewable energy production of investee companies from non-renewable energy sources compared to renewable energy sources, expressed as a percentage of total energy sources	3,2568%	-		Based on data from 3 portfolio companies.	The goal is to implement the measurement in other portfolio companies and support adoption of renewable energy sources in most of our portfolio companies.
	6. Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector	Energy consumption in GWh per million EUR of revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector					
		A - Agriculture, forestry and fishing	-	-			
B - Mining and quarrying		-	-				
C - Manufacturing		-	-				
D - Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply		-	-				
E - Water supply; sewerage; waste management and remediation activities		-	-				
F - Construction		-	-				
G - Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles		-	-				
H - Transporting and storage	-	-					
L - Real estate activities	-	-					
Biodiversity	7. Activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas	Share of investments in investee companies with sites/operations located in or near to biodiversity-sensitive areas where activities of those investee companies negatively affect those areas	0%	-		We don't have investments close to biodiversity related areas.	
Water	8. Emissions to water	Tonnes of emissions to water generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average	-	-		Not relevant for our portfolio companies.	
Waste	9. Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio	Tonnes of hazardous waste and radioactive waste generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average	0,05	-		Not relevant for our portfolio companies.	
Social and employee matters	10. Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Share of investments in investee companies that have been involved in violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	3%	-		One company involved.	Investigate what happened and take preventive measures to avoid any violations in the future.
	11. Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Share of investments in investee companies without policies to monitor compliance with the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises or grievance/complaints handling mechanisms to address violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	58%	-			We aim to introduce UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises to portfolio companies that are not familiar with them.
	12. Unadjusted gender pay gap	Average unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies	7%	-		Collected from 4 companies.	We aim to implement the measurement in remaining portfolio companies as a first step and then focus on initiatives which would decrease the gap where possible.
	13. Board gender diversity	Average ratio of female to male board members in investee companies, expressed as a percentage of all board members	17%	-		Reported by all companies.	Our approach is to act as advocates for more diverse boards in all our portfolio companies. However, as the board members are mainly representatives of other investors, it is influenced by their DEI approach. We have currently identified the independent board member / chair person role as the most efficient way how to influence board diversity.
	14. Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons)	Share of investments in investee companies involved in the manufacture or selling of controversial weapons	0%	-		We don't invest into companies involved in weapons trade.	

Additional PAI indicators

Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact - 2022	Impact - 2021	Change	Explanation	Actions taken
Environmental	Investments in companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives	Share of investments in investee companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives aimed at aligning with the Paris Agreement	40%	-		3 companies have carbon reduction initiatives in place. Support other portfolio companies to create and implement these initiatives.
Social	Lack of a supplier code of conduct	Share of investments in investee companies without any supplier code of conduct (against unsafe working conditions, precarious work, child labour and forced labour)	88%	-		4 companies have a supplier code of conduct. Support other portfolio companies to create and implement a supplier code of conduct.
	Lack of anti-corruption and anti-bribery policies	Share of investments in entities without policies on anti-corruption and anti-bribery consistent with the United Nations Convention against Corruption	11%	-		7 companies uploaded their anti-corruption policy, some companies have their anti-corruption policies included in the Code of Conduct document. Our aim is to support the remaining companies to formulate their anti-corruption policy.

Description of policies to identify and prioritise principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors

Please refer to our document "Inven ESG policy" located in the section Info for investors on our website www.invecapital.cz for detailed description how we identify and evaluate the principal adverse impacts.

Engagement policies

Inven is usually a minority shareholder, however, if possible we require a board seat in our portfolio companies to be aware and be able to influence of the situation in our companies. We monitor our investments on regular basis, communicate with relevant stakeholders to ensure and support our mutual cooperation (where possible setting up ESG committees at the boards), including separate dialogues with our investee companies on ESG matters. We try to support our investees in establishing their own ESG processes which are aligned with market best practice.

References to international standards

We adhere to international reporting and valuation standards (IPEV). We have a responsible code of conduct policy included in our fund internal guidelines that follows the principals of Czech and international law. We are members of various international organizations and communities, such as Invest Europe, VentureESG or Leaders for Climate action.

Historical comparison

As 2022 is the first reporting period for PAIs, no comparison to the previous period is available.